WHEITUL PROTECTION	
San Acade	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0112540 DATE: <u>11/9/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>12</u>	00 DEPART: <u>130</u>		
FACILITY NAME: DOWNTOWN COLLISION			
FACILITY LOCATION: 110 N DIXIE HWY			
HOLLYWOOD 33020-6704			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ARIEL DEARMAS PHONE: (954)925-6205 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/25/2011 / 6/25/2016 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes □ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?			
 PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREM</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonable emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62.206.500, F.A.C.?) 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)	bly Available Control Technology (RACT) 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No pollutants which cause or contribute to		

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check $\overline{\blacksquare}$ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray	? \square Yes \square No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)	? Yes No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?
e)	implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

mplementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes No
3) using water based cleaners?	\Box Yes \Box No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>					
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? 					
 d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? Yes No 					
C.Pitters	11/9/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility is OOB. Called the facility on several occasions but did not get any response. Conducted walk through inspection from the parameter. Peered through windows but did not see any evidence of ongoing operations. All office equipment had been removed but could not see if paint and body shop tools/apparatus was still on hand.

NA